

PERIODS OF THIRD KIND FOR RANK 2 DRINFELD MODULES AND ALGEBRAIC INDEPENDENCE OF LOGARITHMS

CHIEH-YU CHANG

ABSTRACT. In analogy with the periods of abelian integrals of differentials of third kind for an elliptic curve defined over a number field, we introduce a notion of periods of third kind for a rank 2 Drinfeld $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -module ρ defined over an algebraic function field and derive explicit formulae for them. When ρ has complex multiplication by a separable extension, we prove the algebraic independence of ρ -logarithms of algebraic points that are linearly independent over the CM field of ρ . Together with the main result in [CP08], we completely determine all the algebraic relations among the periods of first, second and third kinds for rank 2 Drinfeld $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -modules in odd characteristic.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Motivation. Let E be an elliptic curve defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ given by $y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3$. Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$ be the period lattice of E comprising the periods of the holomorphic differential form dx/y (of the first kind) and let $\wp(z), \zeta(z), \sigma(z)$ be the Weierstrass functions associated to Λ . Let $\eta : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the quasi-period function defined by $\eta(\omega) := \zeta(z + \omega) - \zeta(z)$. The quasi-periods $\eta(\omega)$, for $\omega \in \Lambda$, arise from the differential form $x dx/y$ of the second kind (having poles with zero residues) and occur in the second coordinate of period vectors of the exponential function $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto (1, \wp(z_1), \wp'(z_1), z_2 - \zeta(z_1))$ for a commutative algebraic group as an extension of E by the additive group $\mathbb{G}_a$. In the 1930s, Schneider established a fundamental theorem which asserts that nonzero periods and quasi-periods of E are transcendental over $\mathbb{Q}$. The periods and quasi-periods satisfy the Legendre relation:

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 & \omega_2 \\ \eta(\omega_1) & \eta(\omega_2) \end{pmatrix} = \pm 2\pi\sqrt{-1}.$$

If the elliptic curve E has complex multiplication, then the transcendence degree of the field

$$\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(\omega_1, \omega_2, \eta(\omega_1), \eta(\omega_2))$$

over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is known to be 2 by a theorem of Chudnovsky. When E has no complex multiplication, conjecturally one expects that $\omega_1, \omega_2, \eta(\omega_1), \eta(\omega_2)$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Given $u \in \mathbb{C}$ so that $\wp(u) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, we regard $(1, \wp(u), \wp'(u)) \in E^\vee \cong \text{Ext}^1(E, \mathbb{G}_m)$ and consider the differential form of the third kind (having poles with nonzero residues):

$$\delta = \frac{1}{2} \frac{y + \wp'(u)}{x - \wp(u)} \frac{dx}{y}.$$

Date: June 25, 2009.

MSC: primary 11J93; secondary 11M38, 11G09.

Key words: Algebraic independence; Drinfeld modules; periods; logarithms; t -motives.

The author was supported by NCTS.

For $\omega \in \Lambda$, we set $\lambda(\omega, u) := \omega\zeta(u) - \eta(\omega)u$. If γ is any closed cycle on $E(\mathbb{C})$ along which δ is holomorphic, then the period of the integral of δ along the cycle takes the form

$$(1) \quad \lambda(\omega, u) + 2m\pi\sqrt{-1}$$

for some $\omega \in \Lambda$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The values $\lambda(\omega, u) + 2m\pi\sqrt{-1}$ as above occur in the second coordinate of period vectors of the exponential function

$$(z_1, z_2) \mapsto \left(1, \wp(z_1), \wp'(z_1), \frac{\sigma(z_1 - u)}{\sigma(z_1)\sigma(u)} \exp(\zeta(u)z_1 - z_2)\right)$$

for a commutative algebraic group as an extension of E by the multiplicative group $\mathbb{G}_m$. The transcendence of $\lambda(\omega, u) + 2m\pi\sqrt{-1}$ was established by Laurent (cf. [L80, L82]) if it is nonzero, and later on Wüstholz [W84] extended Laurent's result to arbitrary differentials of the third kind over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Given nonzero $\omega \in \Lambda$ and $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\wp(u_i) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -linear independence of the following periods (of three different kinds) $\{\omega, \eta(\omega), \lambda(\omega, u_1), \dots, \lambda(\omega, u_n)\}$ was achieved by Wüstholz [W84] if $\omega, u_1, \dots, u_n$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$ (see also [BW07]). To determine all the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -algebraic relations among these periods in question, it suffices to prove the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1.1.1. *Let $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy $\wp(u_i) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. If $u_1, \dots, u_n$ are linearly independent over the endomorphism ring of E , then the $2n$ numbers*

$$u_1, \dots, u_n, \zeta(u_1), \dots, \zeta(u_n)$$

are algebraically independent over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

But even the weaker conjecture that the values $u_1, \dots, u_n$ are algebraically independent over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is still open.

1.2. The main results. The purpose of the paper are two themes. The first is to introduce periods of third kind for rank 2 Drinfeld modules and develop explicit formulae for them. The second is to prove the analogue of Conjecture 1.1.1 in the setting of rank 2 Drinfeld modules with complex multiplication by separable extensions. (Note that a rank 2 Drinfeld module with complex multiplication by an inseparable extension exists only in characteristic 2).

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the finite field of q elements, where q is a power of a prime p . Let $k := \mathbb{F}_q(\theta)$ be the rational function field in a variable θ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ be the completion of an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_q((\frac{1}{t}))$ with respect to the non-archimedean absolute value of k for which $|\theta|_\infty = q$. Let $\tau : \mathbb{C}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty$ be the Frobenius operator ($x \mapsto x^q$) and let $\mathbb{C}_\infty[\tau]$ be the twisted polynomial ring in τ over $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ subject to the relation $\tau c = c^q \tau$ for $c \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$.

A Drinfeld $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -module (of generic characteristic) is an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear ring homomorphism $\rho : \mathbb{F}_q[t] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty[\tau]$ so that the coefficient of τ^0 in ρ_t is θ and $\rho_t \notin \mathbb{C}_\infty$. The degree of ρ_t in τ is called the rank of ρ . Moreover, the exponential function of ρ is defined to be the unique power series of the form $\exp_\rho(z) = z + \sum_{i=1}^\infty \alpha_i z^{q^i}$, with $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$, satisfying the functional equation $\exp_\rho(\theta z) = \rho_t(\exp_\rho(z))$. One can show that $\exp_\rho$ is an entire function on $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ and its kernel $\Lambda_\rho := \text{Ker } \exp_\rho$ is a discrete, $\mathbb{F}_q[\theta]$ -module of rank r inside $\mathbb{C}_\infty$. This Λ_ρ is called the period lattice of ρ and elements of Λ_ρ are called periods (of first kind) of ρ . Finally, we say that ρ is defined over $\bar{k}$ if the coefficients of ρ_t lie in $\bar{k}$.

The ring $\text{End}(\rho) := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_\infty; \alpha\Lambda_\rho \subseteq \Lambda_\rho\}$ is called the multiplication ring of Λ_ρ and it can be identified with the endomorphism ring of ρ (cf. [G96, R02, T04]). Given two

Drinfeld $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -modules ρ and ν , we say that ρ is isomorphic to ν if there exists $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}_\infty^\times$ for which $\nu_t = \epsilon^{-1} \rho_t \epsilon$.

Fix a rank 2 Drinfeld $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -module ρ given by $\rho_t = \theta + \kappa\tau + \Delta\tau^2$ with $\kappa, \Delta \in \bar{k}$, $\Delta \neq 0$. Let $\Lambda_\rho = \mathbb{F}_q[\theta]\omega_1 + \mathbb{F}_q[\theta]\omega_2$ be the period lattice of ρ . When $\text{End}(\rho) \supsetneq \mathbb{F}_q[\theta]$, we say that ρ has complex multiplication and the fraction field of $\text{End}(\rho)$ is called the CM field of ρ . If $\text{End}(\rho) = \mathbb{F}_q[\theta]$, then we say that ρ has no complex multiplication.

Let F_τ be the quasi-periodic function of ρ associated to τ , i.e., it is the unique power series satisfying the conditions:

- $F_\tau(z) \equiv 0 \pmod{z^q}$;
- $F_\tau(\theta z) - \theta F_\tau(z) = \exp_\rho(z)^q$.

The function F_τ has the following properties:

- F_τ is entire on $\mathbb{C}_\infty$;
- $F_\tau(z + \omega) = F_\tau(z) + F_\tau(\omega)$ for $\omega \in \Lambda_\rho$;
- $F_\tau|_{\Lambda_\rho}: \Lambda_\rho \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty$ is $\mathbb{F}_q[\theta]$ -linear.

The values $F_\tau(\omega)$ for $\omega \in \Lambda_\rho$, are called quasi-periods of ρ associated to τ (or periods of second kind for ρ).

For each $\varphi = \sum_i a_i \tau^i \in \text{Mat}_d(\mathbb{C}_\infty[\tau])$ with $a_i \in \text{Mat}_d(\mathbb{C}_\infty)$, we put $\partial\varphi := a_0$. A t -module of dimension d is an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear ring homomorphism

$$\phi: \mathbb{F}_q[t] \rightarrow \text{Mat}_d(\mathbb{C}_\infty[\tau])$$

so that $\partial\phi_t - \theta I_d$ is a nilpotent matrix. Its exponential function, denoted by $\exp_\phi$, is defined to be the unique entire function as $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear homomorphism from $\mathbb{C}_\infty^d$ to $\mathbb{C}_\infty^d$, satisfying the conditions:

- $\exp_\phi(\mathbf{z}) \equiv \mathbf{z} \pmod{\deg q}$;
- $\exp_\phi(\partial\phi_t(\mathbf{z})) = \phi_t(\exp_\phi(\mathbf{z}))$.

We say that ϕ is uniformizable if $\exp_\phi$ is surjective onto $\mathbb{C}_\infty^d$ (cf. [A86]).

Now, we turn to the quasi-periods of ρ . In fact, for $\omega \in \Lambda_\rho$ the vector $(\omega, -F_\tau(\omega))^{tr}$ is a period vector of $\exp_\phi$ for the two dimensional t -module ϕ defined by

$$\phi_t = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_t & 0 \\ \tau & \theta \end{pmatrix},$$

which is an extension of ρ by the additive group $\mathbb{G}_a$ (cf. [Ge89, Yu90, BP02]).

In [Yu86] and [Yu90], Yu established fundamental results parallel to the work of Schneider. That is, nonzero periods and quasi-periods of ρ are transcendental over k . Anderson proved an analogue of the Legendre relation:

$$\omega_1 F_\tau(\omega_2) - \omega_2 F_\tau(\omega_1) = \tilde{\pi} / {}^{q-1}\sqrt{-\Delta},$$

where $\tilde{\pi}$ is a fundamental period of the Carlitz module C , which is the rank one Drinfeld $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -module defined by $C_t = \theta + \tau$, and ${}^{q-1}\sqrt{-\Delta}$ is a choice of $(q-1)$ st root of $-\Delta$, which is fixed throughout this paper. If ρ has complex multiplication, then the transcendence degree of the field

$$\bar{k}(\omega_1, \omega_2, F_\tau(\omega_1), F_\tau(\omega_2))$$

over $\bar{k}$ is known to be 2 by Thiery [Thi92]. When p is odd and ρ has no complex multiplication, the author and Papanikolas [CP08] have proved the algebraic independence of $\omega_1, \omega_2, F_\tau(\omega_1), F_\tau(\omega_2)$ over $\bar{k}$.

Consider the category of two-dimensional uniformizable t -modules which are extensions of ρ by the Carlitz module C and whose Lie algebras are split (see [PR03] and §2). We denote the category by $\text{Ext}_0^1(\rho, C)$ and note that it is in bijection with $\{\alpha\tau; \alpha \in \mathbb{C}_\infty\}$. Moreover, $\text{Ext}_0^1(\rho, C)$ has a t -module structure isomorphic to the rank

2 Drinfeld $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -module ρ . Fixing any $\alpha \in \bar{k}^\times$, we consider $\alpha\tau \in \text{Ext}_0^1(\rho, C)$ that corresponds to the uniformizable t -module ϕ defined by

$$(2) \quad \phi_t = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_t & 0 \\ \alpha\tau & C_t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that any period vector in Ker exp_ϕ can be written in the form $\begin{pmatrix} \omega \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix}$ for some $\omega \in \Lambda_\rho$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$ (cf. §3.2). We call such λ a period of third kind for ρ (associated to $\alpha\tau$). The first main theorem of the present paper is to derive an explicit formula for λ as an analogue of (1):

Theorem 1.2.1. *Given $\alpha \in \bar{k}^\times$, let ϕ be the t -module defined in (2). Let $u \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$ satisfy $\text{exp}_\rho(u) = \alpha / {}^q\sqrt{-\Delta}$. For any period vector $\begin{pmatrix} \omega \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Ker exp}_\phi$, there exists $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ so that*

$$\lambda = - {}^q\sqrt{-\Delta} (uF_\tau(\omega) - \omega F_\tau(u)) + f(\theta)\tilde{\pi}.$$

According to the formula in Theorem 1.2.1, to determine all the algebraic relations among the periods of three different kinds for ρ is equivalent to prove the analogue of Conjecture 1.1.1. When p is odd and ρ has no complex multiplication, the author and Papanikolas [CP08, Thm. 1.2.4] have proved the algebraic independence of $u_1, \dots, u_n, F_\tau(u_1), \dots, F_\tau(u_n)$ if $u_1, \dots, u_n$ are linearly independent over k , where $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$ satisfy $\text{exp}_\rho(u_i) \in \bar{k}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. The second main theorem in this paper is to establish the counterpart:

Theorem 1.2.2. *Let ρ be a rank 2 Drinfeld $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -module with complex multiplication defined over $\bar{k}$ and suppose that its CM field is separable over k . Let $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$ satisfy $\text{exp}_\rho(u_i) \in \bar{k}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. If $u_1, \dots, u_n$ are linearly independent over $\text{End}(\rho)$, then the $2n$ quantities*

$$u_1, \dots, u_n, F_\tau(u_1), \dots, F_\tau(u_n)$$

are algebraically independent over $\bar{k}$.

The omitted case of the rank 2 Drinfeld modules with complex multiplication by inseparable extensions imposes some added difficulties, which require further investigation (see Remark 4.4.1). Combining Theorem 1.2.2 and [CP08, Thm. 1.2.4] we obtain the algebraic independence of periods of three different kinds in odd characteristic:

Theorem 1.2.3. *Let p be odd and let ρ be a rank 2 Drinfeld $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -module defined over $\bar{k}$. Let $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$ satisfy $\text{exp}_\rho(u_i) \in \bar{k}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Given a nonzero period $\omega \in \Lambda_\rho$, we set $\lambda(\omega, u_i) := \omega F_\tau(u_i) - u_i F_\tau(\omega)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. If $\omega, u_1, \dots, u_n$ are linearly independent over $\text{End}(\rho)$, then the $2 + n$ quantities*

$$\omega, F_\tau(\omega), \lambda(\omega, u_1), \dots, \lambda(\omega, u_n)$$

are algebraically independent over $\bar{k}$.

1.3. Methods and outline of this paper. In order to derive the explicit formula in Theorem 1.2.1, we consider the Anderson generating function of a period vector for the t -module ϕ given in (2). The function satisfies a difference equation. The subtle part here is to prove that such a difference equation is related to a difference equation associated to the ρ -logarithm of an algebraic point (i.e., its image under exp_ρ lies in $\bar{k}$), whence we obtain Theorem 1.2.1.

To prove Theorem 1.2.2, it suffices to prove the algebraic independence of

$$\omega_1, u_1, \dots, u_n, F_\tau(\omega_1), F_\tau(u_1), \dots, F_\tau(u_n)$$

in the situation that $\Delta = 1$ and $\omega_1, u_1, \dots, u_n$ are linearly independent over $\text{End}(\rho)$. To prove it, we shall apply the fundamental theorem of Papanikolas [P08], which is a function field analogue of Grothendieck's periods conjecture for abelian varieties defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. More precisely, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, we construct a suitable t -motive M_i , which is an extension of the trivial t -motive by the t -motive M_ρ associated to ρ , so that its period matrix contains the periods, quasi-periods of ρ , u_i and $F_\tau(u_i)$. Here a t -motive is a dual notion of a t -module introduced by Anderson [A86]. We further define the t -motive M as the direct sum $\oplus_{i=1}^n M_i$. Then we are reduced to proving that the dimension of the motivic Galois group Γ_M of M is equal to $2 + 2n$.

The first step of the proof is to show that the motivic Galois group Γ_{M_ρ} of the t -motive M_ρ is isomorphic to the restriction of scalars of $\mathbb{G}_m$ from the CM field of ρ . It follows that Γ_M is an extension of the torus Γ_{M_ρ} by its unipotent radical. Next, under the hypothesis of linear independence of the quantities in question, we prove the $\text{End}_{\mathcal{T}}(M_\rho)$ -linear independence of the t -motives $M_1, \dots, M_n$ in $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{T}}^1(\mathbf{1}, M_\rho)$ (see Theorem 4.3.1), where $\mathcal{T}$ is the category of t -motives which is a neutral Tannakian category over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ developed by Papanikolas. Having this nice property at hand, we follow Hardouin ([Pe08, Thm. 4.7], [H09, Cor. 2.4]) to prove that if the dimension of the unipotent radical of Γ_M is less than $2n$, then $M_1, \dots, M_n$ are linearly dependent over $\text{End}(M_\rho)$ in $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{T}}^1(\mathbf{1}, M_\rho)$, which is a contradiction and hence we prove Theorem 1.2.2.

The present paper is organized as follows. In §2, we review Papanikolas' theory and prove that Γ_{M_ρ} is a non-split torus over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ under the hypothesis on ρ . In §3, we review the work of Papanikolas-Ramachandran about the relationship between (ρ, C) -biderivations and $\text{Ext}_0^1(\rho, C)$, and further prove Theorem 1.2.1. Finally, we prove Theorem 1.2.2 and derive Theorem 1.2.3 in §4.

2. PERIODS AND MOTIVIC GALOIS GROUPS

2.1. Notation and definitions.

2.1.1. Table of symbols.

$\mathbb{F}_q$:= the finite field of q elements, q is a power of a prime number p .

θ, t := independent variables.

$\mathbb{F}_q[\theta]$:= the polynomial ring in the variable θ over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

k := $\mathbb{F}_q(\theta)$, the fraction field of $\mathbb{F}_q[\theta]$.

k_∞ := $\mathbb{F}_q((\frac{1}{\theta}))$, the completion of k with respect to the place at infinity.

$\overline{k_\infty}$:= a fixed algebraic closure of k_∞ .

$\overline{k}$:= the algebraic closure of k in $\overline{k_\infty}$.

$\mathbb{C}_\infty$:= the completion of $\overline{k_\infty}$ with respect to canonical extension of the place at infinity.

$|\cdot|_\infty$:= a fixed absolute value for the completed field $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ so that $|\theta|_\infty = q$.

$\mathbb{T}$:= $\{f \in \mathbb{C}_\infty[[t]] ; f \text{ converges on } |t|_\infty \leq 1\}$, the Tate algebra.

$\mathbb{L}$:= the fraction field of $\mathbb{T}$.

GL_r := group of invertible rank r matrices.

2.1.2. Frobenius twisting and entire power series. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, given a Laurent series $f = \sum_i a_i t^i \in \mathbb{C}_\infty((t))$ we define the n -fold twist of f by the rule $\sigma^{-n} f := f^{(n)} := \sum_i a_i^{q^n} t^i$. For each n , the twisting operation is an automorphism of the Laurent series field $\mathbb{C}_\infty((t))$ stabilizing several subrings, e.g., $\overline{k}[[t]]$, $\overline{k}[t]$ and $\mathbb{T}$. More generally, for any matrix B with entries in $\mathbb{C}_\infty((t))$ we define $B^{(n)}$ by the rule $B^{(n)}_{ij} := B_{ij}^{(n)}$.

A power series $f = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i t^i \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}[[t]]$ that satisfies

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[i]{|a_i|_{\infty}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [k_{\infty}(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots) : k_{\infty}] < \infty$$

is called an entire power series. As a function of t , such a power series f converges on all of $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ and, when restricted to $\overline{k_{\infty}}$, f takes values in $\overline{k_{\infty}}$. The ring of entire power series is denoted by $\mathbb{E}$.

2.2. Review of Papanikolas' theory. In this subsection we follow [P08] for background and terminology of t -motives. Let $\bar{k}(t)[\sigma, \sigma^{-1}]$ be the noncommutative ring of Laurent polynomials in σ with coefficients in $\bar{k}(t)$, subject to the relation

$$\sigma f = f^{(-1)} \sigma, \quad \forall f \in \bar{k}(t).$$

Let $\bar{k}[t, \sigma]$ be the noncommutative subring of $\bar{k}(t)[\sigma, \sigma^{-1}]$ generated by t and σ over $\bar{k}$. An Anderson t -motive is a left $\bar{k}[t, \sigma]$ -module $\mathcal{M}$ which is free and finitely generated both as a left $\bar{k}[t]$ -module and a left $\bar{k}[\sigma]$ -module and which satisfies, for integers N sufficiently large,

$$(3) \quad (t - \theta)^N \mathcal{M} \subseteq \sigma \mathcal{M}.$$

Given an Anderson t -motive $\mathcal{M}$ of rank r over $\bar{k}[t]$, let $\mathbf{m} \in \text{Mat}_{r \times 1}(\mathcal{M})$ comprise a $\bar{k}[t]$ -basis of $\mathcal{M}$. Then multiplication by σ on $\mathcal{M}$ is represented by $\sigma \mathbf{m} = \Phi \mathbf{m}$ for some $\Phi \in \text{Mat}_r(\bar{k}[t])$. Note that (3) implies $\det \Phi = c(t - \theta)^s$ for some $c \in \bar{k}^{\times}$ and $s \geq 0$.

We say that a left $\bar{k}(t)[\sigma, \sigma^{-1}]$ -module P is a pre- t -motive if it is finite dimensional over $\bar{k}(t)$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the category of pre- t -motives. Morphisms in $\mathcal{P}$ are left $\bar{k}(t)[\sigma, \sigma^{-1}]$ -module homomorphisms and hence $\mathcal{P}$ forms an abelian category. The identity object of $\mathcal{P}$ is denoted by $\mathbf{1}$ whose underlying space is $\bar{k}(t)$ together with the σ -action given by

$$\sigma f = f^{(-1)} \text{ for } f \in \mathbf{1}.$$

In what follows we are interested in pre- t -motives P that are rigid analytically trivial. To define this, we let $\mathbf{p} \in \text{Mat}_{r \times 1}(P)$ comprise a $\bar{k}(t)$ -basis of P . Then multiplication by σ on P is given by $\sigma \mathbf{p} = \Phi \mathbf{p}$ for some $\Phi \in \text{GL}_r(\bar{k}(t))$. Now, P is said to be rigid analytically trivial if there exists $\Psi \in \text{GL}_r(\mathbb{L})$ so that $\Psi^{(-1)} = \Phi \Psi$. The existence of such matrix Ψ is equivalent to the isomorphism of the natural map of $\mathbb{L}$ -vector spaces, $\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q(t)} P^B \rightarrow P^{\dagger}$, where

- $P^{\dagger} := \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\bar{k}(t)} P$, on which σ acts diagonally;
- $P^B :=$ the $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ -submodule of $P^{\dagger}$ fixed by σ , the “Betti” cohomology of P .

We then call that Ψ is a rigid analytic trivialization for Φ and in this situation $\Psi^{-1} \mathbf{p}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ -basis of P^B . Note that if Ψ' is also a rigid analytic trivialization for Φ , then one has

$$(4) \quad \Psi'^{-1} \Psi \in \text{GL}_r(\mathbb{F}_q(t)).$$

Given an Anderson t -motive $\mathcal{M}$, we obtain a pre- t -motive M by setting $M := \bar{k}(t) \otimes_{\bar{k}[t]} \mathcal{M}$ with the σ -action given by $\sigma(f \otimes m) := f^{(-1)} \otimes \sigma m$ for $f \in \bar{k}(t)$, $m \in \mathcal{M}$. Rigid analytically trivial pre- t -motives that can be constructed from Anderson t -motives using direct sums, subquotients, tensor products, duals and internal Hom's, are called t -motives. These t -motives form a neutral Tannakian category $\mathcal{T}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ with fiber functor $M \mapsto M^B$.

For any t -motive M , let $\mathcal{T}_M$ be the strictly full Tannakian subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$ generated by M , then by Tannakian duality $\mathcal{T}_M$ is equivalent to the category of finite-dimensional representations over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ of an affine algebraic group scheme Γ_M defined over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$.

The Γ_M is called the motivic Galois group of M and we always have a faithful representation

$$\varphi : \Gamma_M \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(M^B).$$

Using difference Galois theory, Γ_M can be constructed explicitly as follows.

Let $\Phi \in \mathrm{GL}_r(\bar{k}(t))$ represent multiplication by σ on M and let $\Psi \in \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{L})$ be a rigid analytic trivialization for Φ . Now set $\Psi_1, \Psi_2 \in \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\bar{k}(t)} \mathbb{L})$ to be the matrices such that $(\Psi_1)_{ij} = \Psi_{ij} \otimes 1$ and $(\Psi_2)_{ij} = 1 \otimes \Psi_{ij}$, and let $\tilde{\Psi} := \Psi_1^{-1} \Psi_2 \in \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\bar{k}(t)} \mathbb{L})$. We define an $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ -algebra homomorphism $\mu_{\tilde{\Psi}} : \mathbb{F}_q(t)[X, 1/\det X] \rightarrow \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\bar{k}(t)} \mathbb{L}$ by setting $\mu(X_{ij}) = \tilde{\Psi}_{ij}$, where $X = (X_{ij})$ is an $r \times r$ matrix of independent variables. We let $\Delta_{\tilde{\Psi}} := \mathrm{Im} \mu_{\tilde{\Psi}}$ and set

$$(5) \quad \Gamma_{\Psi} := \mathrm{Spec} \Delta_{\tilde{\Psi}}.$$

Thus Γ_{Ψ} is the smallest closed subscheme of $\mathrm{GL}_{r/\mathbb{F}_q(t)}$ such that $\tilde{\Psi} \in \Gamma_{\Psi}(\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\bar{k}(t)} \mathbb{L})$. We collect the results developed by Papanikolas as the following.

Theorem 2.2.1 (Papanikolas [P08]). *Let M be a t -motive and let $\Phi \in \mathrm{GL}_r(\bar{k}(t))$ represent multiplication by σ on M and let $\Psi \in \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{L})$ be a rigid analytic trivialization for Φ . Then Γ_{Ψ} has the following properties:*

- (1) Γ_{Ψ} is a closed $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ -subgroup scheme of $\mathrm{GL}_{r/\mathbb{F}_q(t)}$.
- (2) Γ_{Ψ} is absolutely irreducible and smooth over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q(t)}$.
- (3) Γ_{Ψ} is isomorphic to Γ_M over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$.
- (4) If $\Phi \in \mathrm{Mat}_r(\bar{k}[t]) \cap \mathrm{GL}_r(\bar{k}(t))$ and $\det \Phi = c(t - \theta)^s$ for some $c \in \bar{k}^{\times}$, then there exists $\Psi \in \mathrm{Mat}_r(\mathbb{L}) \cap \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{T})$ so that $\Psi^{(-1)} = \Phi \Psi$ and one has

$$\dim \Gamma_{\Psi} = \mathrm{tr. deg}_{\bar{k}} \bar{k}(\Psi(\theta)),$$

where $\bar{k}(\Psi(\theta))$ is the field generated by all entries of $\Psi(\theta)$ over $\bar{k}$.

Remark 2.2.2. By Theorem 2.2.1, we always identify Γ_M with Γ_{Ψ} in this paper. Using the formalism of Frobenius difference equations, the faithful representation φ can be described as follows. For any $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ -algebra R , the map $\varphi : \Gamma_{\Psi}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(R \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q(t)} M^B)$ is given by

$$\gamma \mapsto (1 \otimes \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{m} \mapsto (\gamma^{-1} \otimes 1) \cdot (1 \otimes \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{m})).$$

2.3. Motivic Galois groups of CM Drinfeld modules of rank 2. We fix a rank 2 Drinfeld $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -module ρ given by $\rho_t = \theta + \kappa\tau + \tau^2$, with $\kappa \in \bar{k}$. Let $\Lambda_{\rho} := \mathbb{F}_q[\theta]\omega_1 + \mathbb{F}_q[\theta]\omega_2$ be the period lattice of ρ . In this subsection, we suppose that ρ has complex multiplication, i.e., the fraction field of $\mathrm{End}(\rho) := \{x \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}; x\Lambda_{\rho} \subseteq \Lambda_{\rho}\}$ is a quadratic field extension of k . Let

$$(6) \quad \Phi_{\rho} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ (t - \theta) & -\kappa(-1) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Mat}_2(\bar{k}[t]) \cap \mathrm{GL}_2(\bar{k}(t))$$

define a pre- t -motive M_{ρ} , i.e., with respect to a fixed $\bar{k}(t)$ -basis $\mathbf{m}$ of the two dimensional $\bar{k}(t)$ -vector space M_{ρ} , multiplication by σ on M_{ρ} is given by Φ_{ρ} . In fact, M_{ρ} is a t -motive and we review the construction of a rigid analytic trivialization for Φ_{ρ} as follows (cf. [CP08, §2.5] and [Pe08, §4.2]).

Let $\exp_{\rho}(z) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i z^{q^i}$ be the exponential function of ρ . Given $u \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ we consider the Anderson generating function

$$(7) \quad f_u(t) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \exp_{\rho} \left(\frac{u}{\theta^{i+1}} \right) t^i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_i u^{q^i}}{\theta^{q^i} - t} \in \mathbb{T}$$

and note that $f_u(t)$ is a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}_\infty$. It has simple poles at $\theta, \theta^q, \dots$ with residues $-u, -\alpha_1 u^q, \dots$ respectively. Using $\rho_t(\exp_\rho(\frac{u}{\theta^{i+1}})) = \exp_\rho(\frac{u}{\theta^i})$, we have

$$(8) \quad \kappa f_u^{(1)} + f_u^{(2)} = (t - \theta)f_u + \exp_\rho(u).$$

Since $f_u^{(m)}(t)$ converges away from $\{\theta^{q^m}, \theta^{q^{m+1}}, \dots\}$ and $\text{Res}_{t=\theta} f_u(t) = -u$, we have

$$(9) \quad \kappa f_u^{(1)}(\theta) + f_u^{(2)}(\theta) = -u + \exp_\rho(u)$$

by specializing (8) at $t = \theta$. Moreover, using the difference equation $F_\tau(\theta z) - \theta F_\tau(z) = \exp_\rho(z)^q$ one has

$$(10) \quad f_u^{(1)}(\theta) = F_\tau(u).$$

Recall the analogue of the Legendre relation proved by Anderson,

$$(11) \quad \omega_1 F_\tau(\omega_2) - \omega_2 F_\tau(\omega_1) = \tilde{\pi}/\xi,$$

where $\xi \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}^\times$ satisfies $\xi^{(-1)} = -\xi$ and $\tilde{\pi}$ is a generator of the period lattice of the Carlitz module C . We pick a suitable choice $(-\theta)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$ of the $(q-1)$ -st root of $-\theta$ so that $\Omega(\theta) = \frac{-1}{\tilde{\pi}}$, where

$$\Omega(t) := (-\theta)^{\frac{-q}{q-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{t}{\theta^{q^i}}\right) \in \mathbb{E},$$

(see [ABP04, Cor. 5.1.4]). Note that Ω satisfies the difference equation $\Omega^{(-1)} = (t - \theta)\Omega$. Now put $f_i := f_{\omega_i}(t)$ for $i = 1, 2$, and define

$$(12) \quad \Psi_\rho := \xi \Omega \begin{pmatrix} -f_2^{(1)} & f_1^{(1)} \\ \kappa f_2^{(1)} + f_2^{(2)} & -\kappa f_1^{(1)} - f_1^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\Psi_\rho \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}) \cap \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{E})$ and by (8) we have $\Psi_\rho^{(-1)} = \Phi_\rho \Psi_\rho$. By specializing Ψ_ρ at $t = \theta$ and using the analogue of the Legendre relation, we obtain

$$(13) \quad (\Psi_\rho^{-1})^{\text{tr}}(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 & \omega_2 \\ -F_\tau(\omega_1) & -F_\tau(\omega_2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore by Theorem 2.2.1 we have the following equivalence

$$\dim \Gamma_{\Psi_\rho} = 2 \Leftrightarrow \text{tr. deg}_{\bar{k}} \bar{k}(\omega_1, \omega_2, F_\tau(\omega_1), F_\tau(\omega_2)) = 2.$$

As ρ has complex multiplication, Thiery [Thi92] has shown that

$$\text{tr. deg}_{\bar{k}} \bar{k}(\omega_1, \omega_2, F_\tau(\omega_1), F_\tau(\omega_2)) = 2,$$

whence $\dim \Gamma_{\Psi_\rho} = 2$.

Remark 2.3.1. One can prove $\dim \Gamma_{\Psi_\rho} = 2$ without using Thiery's result, but we do not discuss the details here. We further note that $\{\omega_1, F_\tau(\omega_1)\}$ and $\{\tilde{\pi}, \omega_1\}$ are transcendental bases of $\bar{k}(\omega_1, \omega_2, F_\tau(\omega_1), F_\tau(\omega_2))$ over $\bar{k}$.

Lemma 2.3.2. *Let ρ , M_ρ and Ψ_ρ be defined as above. Suppose that the CM field of ρ is separable over k , then the motivic Galois group Γ_{Ψ_ρ} is a non-split torus over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{K} := \text{End}_{\mathcal{T}}(M_\rho)$ be the ring consisting of all left $\bar{k}(t)[\sigma, \sigma^{-1}]$ -module endomorphisms of M_ρ . Since the representation $\varphi : \Gamma_{\Psi_\rho} \rightarrow \text{GL}(M_\rho^B)$ is functorial in M_ρ (cf. [P08, Thm. 4.5.3]), for any $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ -algebra R , one has the embedding

$$(14) \quad \Gamma_{\Psi_\rho}(R) \hookrightarrow \text{Cent}_{\text{GL}_2(R)}((\mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q(t)} R)^\times) \text{ (cf. [CPY09, p. 11]).}$$

One also has the property that $\mathcal{K}$ can be identified with the CM field of ρ (cf. [CP08, Prop. 2.4.3, Remark 2.4.4]) and hence $\mathcal{K}$ is a separable quadratic extension of $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$.

Thus, the right hand side of (14) consists of the R -valued points of the restriction of scalars $\text{Res}_{\mathcal{K}/\mathbb{F}_q(t)}(\mathbb{G}_m/\mathcal{K})$. Since $\dim \Gamma_{\Psi_\rho} = 2$, it follows that $\Gamma_{\Psi_\rho} \cong \text{Res}_{\mathcal{K}/\mathbb{F}_q(t)}(\mathbb{G}_m/\mathcal{K})$. $\square$

We close this section with the following proposition which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.2.2.

Proposition 2.3.3. *Let ρ , M_ρ and $\mathbf{m}$ be defined as above. Given nonzero $f \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{T}}(M_\rho)$, let $F = (F_{ij}) \in \text{GL}_2(\bar{k}(t))$ satisfy $f(\mathbf{m}) = F\mathbf{m}$. Then we have:*

- (1) *All the entries F_{ij} are regular at $t = \theta, \theta^q, \theta^{q^2}, \dots$.*
- (2) *$F_{21}(\theta) = 0$.*
- (3) *$F_{11}(\theta)$ lies in the CM field of ρ .*

Proof. Actually, the denominator of each F_{ij} is shown to be in $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ (see [P08, p. 146]), whence the result of (1).

For the proof of (2), we first note that since f is a left $\bar{k}(t)[\sigma, \sigma^{-1}]$ -module homomorphism, we have $F^{(-1)}\Phi_\rho = \Phi_\rho F$. Therefore we have the difference equation $(F\Psi_\rho)^{(-1)} = \Phi_\rho(F\Psi_\rho)$, whence

$$(15) \quad F\Psi_\rho = \Psi_\rho\eta$$

for some $\eta \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q(t))$ because of (4). For any $x, y \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$ with $y \neq 0$, we denote by $x \sim y$ if $x/y \in \bar{k}$. By specializing (15) at $t = \theta$, we obtain that $F_{21}(\theta) \sim \omega_1^2/\tilde{\pi}$ since ω_1 and ω_2 are linearly dependent over $\text{End}(\rho)$. Since $F_{21}(\theta) \in \bar{k}$ and $\{\tilde{\pi}, \omega_1\}$ is an algebraically independent set over $\bar{k}$ by Remark 2.3.1, $F_{21}(\theta)$ has to be zero.

The property (3) is a consequence of (2). In fact, the matrix $\eta = \Psi_\rho^{-1}F\Psi_\rho$ is the image of f in $\text{End}(M_\rho^B) = \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{F}_q(t))$ (cf. [CP08, §3.2]). By taking the conjugation by Ψ_ρ , the image of $\text{End}(M_\rho)$ in $\text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{F}_q(t))$ is a quadratic field extension of $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ that is isomorphic to the fraction field of $\text{End}(\rho)$. As $F_{21}(\theta) = 0$ and

$$\Psi_\rho(\theta)^{-1}F(\theta)^{-1} = \eta(\theta)^{-1}\Psi_\rho(\theta)^{-1},$$

we see that $F_{11}(\theta)^{-1}$ is an eigenvalue of the matrix $\eta(\theta)^{-1}$ with eigenvector as the first column of $\Psi_\rho(\theta)^{-1}$, whence we prove (3). $\square$

3. PERIODS OF THIRD KIND FOR RANK 2 DRINFELD MODULES

3.1. Biderivations and extensions of Drinfeld modules. Fix any rank 2 Drinfeld $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -module ρ given by $\rho_t := \theta + \kappa\tau + \Delta\tau^2$ with $\kappa, \Delta \in \bar{k}$, $\Delta \neq 0$, and let C be the Carlitz module. We also fix generators $\{\omega_1, \omega_2\}$ of the period lattice Λ_ρ over $\mathbb{F}_q[\theta]$. We are interested in the two dimensional t -module ϕ which is an extension of ρ by C and whose Lie algebra is split, i.e., ϕ fits into a short exact sequence of $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow \phi \twoheadrightarrow \rho \rightarrow 0$$

and $\partial\phi_t = \theta I_2$. Let $\text{Ext}_0^1(\rho, C)$ be the category of the two dimensional t -modules ϕ as above, then it forms a group under Bare sum up to Yoneda equivalence. However, $\text{Ext}_0^1(\rho, C)$ can be described explicitly as follows (see [PR03, §2]).

Let $\text{Der}_0(\rho, C)$ be the $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector space consisting of all $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear maps $\delta : \mathbb{F}_q[t] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty[\tau]\tau$ satisfying

$$\delta_{ab} = C_a\delta_b + \delta_a\rho_b \text{ for } a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q[t].$$

Any $\delta \in \text{Der}_0(\rho, C)$ is called a (ρ, C) -biderivation and it is uniquely determined by the image $\delta_t =: h \in \mathbb{C}_\infty[\tau]\tau$. Hence any element $h \in \mathbb{C}_\infty[\tau]\tau$ defines an element

$\delta_h \in \text{Der}_0(\rho, C)$ given by $\delta_h : t \mapsto h$. Thus, we have a canonical isomorphism of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector spaces

$$h \mapsto \delta_h : \mathbb{C}_\infty[\tau] \rightarrow \text{Der}_0(\rho, C).$$

An element $\delta \in \text{Der}_0(\rho, C)$ is called inner if there exists $U \in \mathbb{C}_\infty[\tau]$ so that

$$\delta_a = \delta_a^{(U)} := U\rho_a - C_a U \text{ for all } a \in \mathbb{F}_q[t].$$

The $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector space of all such inner (ρ, C) -biderivations is denoted by $\text{Der}_{\text{inn}}(\rho, C)$.

Given any $\delta \in \text{Der}_0(\rho, C)$, it defines a t -module $\phi \in \text{Ext}_0^1(\rho, C)$ in the following way

$$\phi_t := \begin{pmatrix} \rho_t & 0 \\ \delta_t & C_t \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{C}_\infty[\tau]).$$

Conversely, one observes that every $\phi \in \text{Ext}_0^1(\rho, C)$ defines a unique $\delta \in \text{Der}_0(\rho, C)$. Note that if $\delta^{(U)}$ is an inner derivation, then the t -module ϕ associated to $\delta^{(U)}$ is split.

In this case, the matrix $\gamma := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ U & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ provides the splitting:

$$\gamma^{-1}\phi_a\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_a & 0 \\ 0 & C_a \end{pmatrix} \text{ for all } a \in \mathbb{F}_q[t].$$

However, every split extension in $\text{Ext}_0^1(\rho, C)$ arises in this way.

Given two extensions in $\text{Ext}_0^1(\rho, C)$ which are Yoneda equivalent, by the definition of the Yoneda equivalence the corresponding elements in $\text{Der}_0(\rho, C)$ differ by an inner derivation. Since the (Bare) sum on $\text{Ext}_0^1(\rho, C)$ corresponds to the usual addition on $\text{Der}_0(\rho, C)$, we see that $\text{Ext}_0^1(\rho, C)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Der}_0(\rho, C)/\text{Der}_{\text{inn}}(\rho, C)$ as $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector spaces and hence the space $\text{Ext}_0^1(\rho, C)$ is in bijection with $\{\alpha\tau; \alpha \in \mathbb{C}_\infty\}$. Moreover, $\text{Ext}_0^1(\rho, C)$ has a t -module structure isomorphic to the rank 2 Drinfeld $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -module ρ .

3.2. Periods of third kind for ρ . Given $\alpha \in \bar{k}^\times$, we let $\delta \in \text{Der}_0(\rho, C)$ be defined by $\delta_t = \alpha\tau$, and let ϕ be its corresponding t -module given by

$$\phi_t := \begin{pmatrix} \rho_t & 0 \\ \delta_t & C_t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then its exponential function can be written as

$$(16) \quad \exp_\phi \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \exp_\rho(z_1) \\ \exp_C(z_2) + \mathcal{G}_\delta(z_1) \end{pmatrix} \text{ (cf. [PR03, p.422])},$$

where $\mathcal{G}_\delta$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear, entire function on $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ satisfying the properties:

- $\mathcal{G}_\delta(z) \equiv 0 \pmod{z^q}$;
- $\mathcal{G}_\delta(a(\theta)z) = C_a(\mathcal{G}_\delta(z)) + \delta_a(\exp_\rho(z)) \forall a \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$.

Thus, ϕ is uniformizable and its period lattice is given by

$$(17) \quad \Lambda_\phi = \mathbb{F}_q[\theta]\text{-Span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \lambda_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \omega_2 \\ \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{\pi} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

for some $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$. For any $\begin{pmatrix} \omega \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} \in \Lambda_\phi$, we call λ a period of third kind for ρ associated to $\alpha\tau$. In the following subsection, we give a detailed proof of the formula for such λ as in Theorem 1.2.1.

3.3. Proof of Theorem 1.2.1. Recall that $\rho_t = \theta + \kappa\tau + \Delta\tau^2$ for $\kappa, \Delta \in \bar{k}$, $\Delta \neq 0$. First, we assume that $\Delta = 1$. Since the restriction of σ^{-1} to $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ is essentially the same as the action of τ on $\mathbb{C}_\infty$, we extend the action of τ to $\mathbb{C}_\infty((t))$ by identifying it with σ^{-1} (cf. §2.1.2). For $j = 1, 2$, we define

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_j \\ g_j \end{pmatrix} := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \exp_{\phi} \left((\partial\phi_t)^{-i-1} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_j \\ \lambda_j \end{pmatrix} \right) t^i \in \text{Mat}_{2 \times 1}(\mathbb{C}_\infty[[t]]),$$

where λ_j is given in (17). Then using the functional equation $\exp_{\phi}(\partial\phi_t(\mathbf{z})) = \phi_t(\exp_{\phi}(\mathbf{z}))$ one has

$$\phi_t \begin{pmatrix} f_j \\ g_j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta f_j + \kappa f_j^{(1)} + f_j^{(2)} \\ \alpha f_j^{(1)} + \theta g_j + g_j^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} = t \begin{pmatrix} f_j \\ g_j \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, for $j = 1, 2$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa f_j^{(1)} + f_j^{(2)} &= (t - \theta) f_j, \\ \alpha f_j^{(1)} + g_j^{(1)} &= (t - \theta) g_j. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to the following difference equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & 0 \\ f_1^{(1)} & f_2^{(1)} & 0 \\ g_1 & g_2 & \frac{1}{\Omega(-1)} \end{pmatrix}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ (t - \theta) & -\kappa & 0 \\ 0 & -\alpha & (t - \theta) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & 0 \\ f_1^{(1)} & f_2^{(1)} & 0 \\ g_1 & g_2 & \frac{1}{\Omega(-1)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Put

$$\Phi := \begin{pmatrix} \kappa & 1 & 0 \\ (t - \theta) & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \Psi := \begin{pmatrix} \Omega f_1^{(1)} & \Omega f_2^{(1)} & 0 \\ \Omega f_1^{(2)} & \Omega f_2^{(2)} & 0 \\ \Omega g_1^{(1)} & \Omega g_2^{(1)} & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

then we have

$$\Psi^{(-1)} = \Phi \Psi.$$

For any vector $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2)^{tr} \in \mathbb{C}_\infty^2$ we put $\|\mathbf{v}\| := \max\{|v_1|_\infty, |v_2|_\infty\}$. Then we have $\|\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}\| \leq \max\{\|\mathbf{v}\|, \|\mathbf{w}\|\}$ for $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{C}_\infty^2$. We claim that for each $j = 1, 2$,

- $\begin{pmatrix} f_j \\ g_j \end{pmatrix}$ converges on $|t|_\infty < |\theta|_\infty = q$;
- $\text{Res}_{t=\theta} f_j = -\omega_j$, $\text{Res}_{t=\theta} g_j = -\lambda_j$.

We write

$$\exp_{\phi} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i \begin{pmatrix} z_1^{q^i} \\ z_2^{q^i} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\alpha_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \alpha_i \in \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{C}_\infty) \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For each $1 \leq \ell \leq 2$, by definition we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_\ell \\ g_\ell \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i \left(\frac{1}{\theta^{q^i(j+1)}} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_\ell^{q^i} \\ \lambda_\ell^{q^i} \end{pmatrix} \right) t^j$$

For any $|t|_\infty < |\theta|_\infty$, since $\exp_{\phi}$ is entire on $\mathbb{C}_\infty^2$, there exists a positive integer N sufficiently large so that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i \left(\frac{1}{\theta^{q^i(j+1)}} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_\ell^{q^i} \\ \lambda_\ell^{q^i} \end{pmatrix} \right) \right\| |t|_\infty^j \leq \max_{0 \leq i \leq N} \left\{ \left\| \alpha_i \begin{pmatrix} \omega_\ell^{q^i} \\ \lambda_\ell^{q^i} \end{pmatrix} \right\| \frac{1}{|\theta|_\infty^{q^i(j+1)}} \right\} |t|_\infty^j,$$

which converges to 0 as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\begin{pmatrix} f_\ell \\ g_\ell \end{pmatrix}$ converges on $|t|_\infty < |\theta|_\infty$ for $\ell = 1, 2$.

For the second part of the above claim, it suffices to prove that for each $1 \leq \ell \leq 2$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_\ell \\ g_\ell \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} -\omega_\ell/(t-\theta) \\ -\lambda_\ell/(t-\theta) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_\ell \\ g_\ell \end{pmatrix} - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\theta^{j+1}} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_\ell \\ \lambda_\ell \end{pmatrix} t^j = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \left(\frac{1}{\theta^{q^i(j+1)}} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_\ell^{q^i} \\ \lambda_\ell^{q^i} \end{pmatrix} \right) t^j$$

converges at $t = \theta$. The proof follows from the argument of approximation as above.

For each $j = 1, 2$, note that by (16) we have $f_j = f_{\omega_j}$, where f_{ω_j} is the Anderson generating function of ω_j (cf. (7)). Since $f_j^{(1)}(\theta) = F_\tau(\omega_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$, (cf. (10)), we have

$$\Psi(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} -F_\tau(\omega_1)/\tilde{\pi} & -F_\tau(\omega_2)/\tilde{\pi} & 0 \\ (\omega_1 + \kappa F_\tau(\omega_1))/\tilde{\pi} & (\omega_2 + \kappa F_\tau(\omega_2))/\tilde{\pi} & 0 \\ (\lambda_1 + \alpha F_\tau(\omega_1))/\tilde{\pi} & (\lambda_2 + \alpha F_\tau(\omega_2))/\tilde{\pi} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let ξ be given as in (11). Define

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} \xi & 0 & 0 \\ -\xi\kappa & -\xi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \Phi := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ (t-\theta) & -\kappa^{(-1)} & 0 \\ \alpha/\xi & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

then one has

$$(18) \quad A^{(-1)}\Phi = \Phi A.$$

Let f_u be the Anderson generating function of u (cf. (7)), we put

$$(G_1, G_2) := \left(-(t-\theta)f_u - \alpha/\xi, -f_u^{(1)} \right) \begin{pmatrix} -\xi\Omega f_2^{(1)} & \xi\Omega f_1^{(1)} \\ \xi(t-\theta)\Omega f_2 & -\xi(t-\theta)\Omega f_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

and define

$$\Psi := \begin{pmatrix} -\xi\Omega f_2^{(1)} & \xi\Omega f_1^{(1)} & 0 \\ \xi(t-\theta)\Omega f_2 & -\xi(t-\theta)\Omega f_1 & 0 \\ G_1 & G_2 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

then using (8) one has

$$\Psi^{(-1)} = \Phi\Psi.$$

Since $\Psi \in \mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{T})$ (cf. §2.3) and $\det \Psi = \frac{1}{\xi^2} \det \Psi$, by [ABP04, Prop. 3.1.3] both of Ψ and Ψ are in $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{T}) \cap \mathrm{Mat}_3(\mathbb{E})$. Using (18) we have $(\Psi^{-1}A\Psi)^{(-1)} = \Psi^{-1}A\Psi$. As $\Psi^{-1}A\Psi \in \mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{T})$, we see that

$$(19) \quad A\Psi = \Psi\gamma$$

for some $\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{F}_q[t])$, because the subring of $\mathbb{T}$ fixed by σ is $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$. According to (10), by specializing (19) at $t = \theta$ it follows that γ is of the form

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a & b & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$, whence

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= -\xi(uF_\tau(\omega_1) - \omega_1 F_\tau(u)) + a(\theta)\tilde{\pi} \\ \lambda_2 &= -\xi(uF_\tau(\omega_2) - \omega_2 F_\tau(u)) + b(\theta)\tilde{\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

For the general case that $\Delta \neq 1$, we let $\epsilon \in \bar{k}^\times$ be a $(q^2 - 1)$ st root of $1/\Delta$ satisfying $\epsilon^{q+1}/\xi = 1/\sqrt[q]{-\Delta}$. We define ν to be the rank 2 Drinfeld $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -module given by $\nu_t := \epsilon^{-1}\rho_t\epsilon$, whose leading coefficient in τ is 1. Then we have

$$(21) \quad \exp_\nu = \epsilon^{-1} \circ \exp_\rho \circ \epsilon, \quad \mathcal{F}_\tau = \epsilon^{-q} \circ F_\tau \circ \epsilon,$$

where F_τ (resp. $\mathcal{F}_\tau$) is the quasi-periodic function of ρ (resp. ν) associated to τ . We define φ to be the two dimensional t -module given by

$$\varphi_t := \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_t & 0 \\ \alpha\tau & C_t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_t & 0 \\ \alpha\epsilon^q\tau & C_t \end{pmatrix},$$

then we have

$$(22) \quad \exp_\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \circ \exp_\phi \circ \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Put $\bar{\omega}_i := \omega_i/\epsilon$ for $i = 1, 2$, and $\bar{u} := u/\epsilon$. Let Λ_ν be the period lattice of ν , then we have $\Lambda_\nu := \mathbb{F}_q[\theta]$ -Span $\{\bar{\omega}_1, \bar{\omega}_2\}$ and $\exp_\nu(\bar{u}) = \alpha\epsilon^q/\xi$. Since the leading coefficient of ν_t is 1, by (20) and (17) the period lattice $\Lambda_\varphi := \text{Ker } \exp_\varphi$ is the $\mathbb{F}_q[\theta]$ -module generated by

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\omega}_1 \\ \bar{\lambda}_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\omega}_2 \\ \bar{\lambda}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{\pi} \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

where

$$\bar{\lambda}_i = -\xi(\bar{u}\mathcal{F}_\tau(\bar{\omega}_i) - \bar{\omega}_i\mathcal{F}_\tau(\bar{u})) \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$

Now, given any $\begin{pmatrix} \omega \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Ker } \exp_\phi$, by (22) we see that $\begin{pmatrix} \omega/\xi \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} \in \Lambda_\varphi$. Thus, we derive that $\lambda = -\xi\epsilon^{-q-1}(uF_\tau(\omega) - \omega F_\tau(u)) + f(\theta)\tilde{\pi}$ for some $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ by using $\mathcal{F}_\tau = \epsilon^{-q} \circ F_\tau \circ \epsilon$ and the property that $F_\tau|_{\Lambda_\rho} : \Lambda_\rho \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty$ is $\mathbb{F}_q[\theta]$ -linear.

4. ALGEBRAIC INDEPENDENCE OF DRINFELD LOGARITHMS

4.1. The setting and the reduction of Theorem 1.2.2. In this subsection, we fix a rank 2 Drinfeld $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -module ρ given by $\rho_t := \theta + \kappa\tau + \tau^2$, with $\kappa \in \bar{k}$, and assume that ρ has complex multiplication.

Let Φ_ρ be defined in (6) and Ψ_ρ be defined in (12). Let M_ρ be the t -motive associated to ρ defined by Φ_ρ (cf. §2.3) and let Γ_{Ψ_ρ} be its motivic Galois group. Given $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$ with $\exp_\rho(u_i) =: \alpha_i \in \bar{k}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, we let f_{u_i} be the Anderson generating function of u_i (cf. (7)) and put

$$\mathbf{g}_i := \begin{pmatrix} g_{i1} \\ g_{i2} \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} -\kappa f_{u_i}^{(1)} - f_{u_i}^{(2)} \\ -f_{u_i}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{h}_i := \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We define

$$\Phi_i := \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_\rho & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{h}_i^{\text{tr}} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_3(\bar{k}[t]) \cap \text{GL}_3(\bar{k}(t)), \quad \Psi_i := \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_\rho & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{g}_i^{\text{tr}}\Psi_\rho & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_3(\mathbb{T}).$$

Note that by [ABP04, Prop. 3.1.3] we have $\Psi_i \in \text{Mat}_3(\mathbb{E})$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Furthermore, we have that $\Psi_i^{(-1)} = \Phi_i\Psi_i$ and

$$(23) \quad \mathbf{g}_i(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} u_i - \alpha_i \\ -F_\tau(u_i) \end{pmatrix}.$$

For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, we note that Φ_i defines a t -motive M_i with rigid analytic trivialization Ψ_i (cf. [CP08, Prop. 4.3.1]). Moreover, M_i is an extension of the trivial t -motive $\mathbf{1}$ by M_ρ , whence $M_i \in \text{Ext}_T^1(\mathbf{1}, M_\rho)$. Finally we define M to be the t -motive as direct sum $M := M_{[n]} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$, whose defining matrix is given by block diagonal matrix $\Phi := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \Phi_i \in \text{Mat}_{3n}(\bar{k}[t]) \cap \text{GL}_{3n}(\bar{k}(t))$ with rigid analytic trivialization $\Psi := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \Psi_i \in \text{Mat}_{3n}(\mathbb{E}) \cap \text{GL}_{3n}(\mathbb{T})$.

Note that by (13) and (23), we have that

$$\bar{k}(\Psi(\theta)) = \bar{k}(\omega_1, \omega_2, F_\tau(\omega_1), F_\tau(\omega_2), u_1, \dots, u_n, F_\tau(u_1), \dots, F_\tau(u_n)).$$

Thus, by Theorem 2.2.1 we have the equality

$$\dim \Gamma_\Psi = \text{tr.deg}_{\bar{k}} \bar{k}(\omega_1, \omega_2, F_\tau(\omega_1), F_\tau(\omega_2), u_1, \dots, u_n, F_\tau(u_1), \dots, F_\tau(u_n)).$$

Note that for any $\beta \in \text{End}(\rho)$ and $u \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$ with $\exp_\rho(u) \in \bar{k}$, by [BP02, (3.13)] we have

$$F_\tau(\beta u) \in \bar{k}\text{-Span}\{1, u, F_\tau(u)\}.$$

Thus, using (21) we see that Theorem 1.2.2 is a consequence of the following theorem, which will be proved in §4.4.

Theorem 4.1.1. *Let ρ be a rank 2 Drinfeld $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -module with complex multiplication given by $\rho_t = \theta + \kappa\tau + \tau^2$, $\kappa \in \bar{k}$. Suppose that the CM field of ρ is separable over k . Let ω_1, ω_2 be generators of the period lattice Λ_ρ of ρ over $\mathbb{F}_q[\theta]$. Suppose that $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$ satisfy $\exp_\rho(u_i) =: \alpha_i \in \bar{k}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and that $\omega_1, u_1, \dots, u_n$ are linearly independent over the CM field of ρ . Let Ψ be defined as above. Then we have $\dim \Gamma_\Psi = 2 + 2n$. In particular, the $2 + 2n$ quantities*

$$\omega_1, u_1, \dots, u_n, F_\tau(\omega_1), F_\tau(u_1), \dots, F_\tau(u_n)$$

are algebraically independent over $\bar{k}$.

As a consequence, Theorem 1.2.3 can be proved as follows.

4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2.3. Without loss of generality, we let $\omega = a\omega_1 + b\omega_2$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q[\theta]$ with $b \neq 0$. For any $\omega' \in \Lambda_\rho$ and $u \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$, we set $\lambda(\omega', u) := \omega' F_\tau(u) - u F_\tau(\omega')$. Note that by (11) and the fact that the restriction of F_τ to Λ_ρ is $\mathbb{F}_q[\theta]$ -linear, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L &:= \bar{k} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1, & \omega_2, & u_1, & \dots, & u_n, \\ F_\tau(\omega_1), & F_\tau(\omega_2), & F_\tau(u_1), & \dots, & F_\tau(u_n) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \bar{k} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1, & \omega_2, & \lambda(\omega_1, u_1), & \dots, & \lambda(\omega_1, u_n), \\ F_\tau(\omega_1), & F_\tau(\omega_2), & \lambda(\omega_2, u_1), & \dots, & \lambda(\omega_2, u_n) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \bar{k} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1, & \omega, & \lambda(\omega_1, u_1), & \dots, & \lambda(\omega_1, u_n), \\ F_\tau(\omega_1), & F_\tau(\omega), & \lambda(\omega, u_1), & \dots, & \lambda(\omega, u_n) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Let K be the fraction field of $\text{End}(\rho)$ and let r be the K -dimension of the vector space over K spanned by $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, u_1, \dots, u_n\}$. Then we have $r \geq n + 1$ since $\omega, u_1, \dots, u_n$ are linearly independent over $\text{End}(\rho)$. Since we assume $p \neq 2$, K is separable over k if ρ has complex multiplication. Combining Theorem 4.1.1 and [CP08, Thm. 1.2.4] we have

$$\text{tr.deg}_{\bar{k}} L = \begin{cases} 2n + 2 & \text{if } \rho \text{ has complex multiplication,} \\ 2r & \text{if } \rho \text{ has no complex multiplication.} \end{cases}$$

Note that in the case that ρ has complex multiplication, ω_1 is a K -multiple of ω and $F_\tau(\omega_1)$ is a $\bar{k}$ -linear combination of $\{1, \omega, F_\tau(\omega)\}$. Therefore, we are reduced to considering the case that ρ has no complex multiplication and $r = n + 1$.

Without loss of generality, we suppose that $\omega_1, \omega, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}$ are linearly independent over k . Let

$$a_1\omega_1 + a_2\omega + c_1u_1 + \dots + c_nu_n = 0$$

for $a_1, a_2, c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{F}_q[\theta]$ with $a_1 \neq 0, c_n \neq 0$, whence

$$(24) \quad b_1\omega_1 + b_2\omega + c_1u_1 + \dots + c_nu_n = 0,$$

where $b_1 := a_1 + a_2a$, $b_2 := a_2b$.

Since F_τ is $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear, using the difference equation $F_\tau(\theta z) = \theta F_\tau(z) + \exp_\rho(z)^q$, one has $F_\tau(c_j u_j) = c_j F_\tau(u_j) + \beta_j$ for some $\beta_j \in \bar{k}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. Hence using (24) and the analogue of the Legendre relation (11) we obtain

$$\lambda(\omega_2, c_n u_n) = b_1 \tilde{\pi} / \xi - c_1 \lambda(\omega_2, u_1) - \dots - c_{n-1} \lambda(\omega_2, u_{n-1}) + \gamma_n \omega_2 \text{ for some } \gamma_n \in \bar{k}.$$

Since $\lambda(\omega_2, c_n u_n) = c_n \lambda(\omega_2, u_n) + \beta_n \omega_2$, we have

$$\lambda(\omega_2, u_n) = \frac{1}{c_n} (b_1 \tilde{\pi} / \xi - c_1 \lambda(\omega_2, u_1) - \dots - c_{n-1} \lambda(\omega_2, u_{n-1}) + \eta_n \omega_2) \text{ for some } \eta_n \in \bar{k}.$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$\lambda(\omega_1, u_n) = \frac{1}{c_n} (-b_2 \tilde{\pi} / \xi - c_1 \lambda(\omega_1, u_1) - \dots - c_{n-1} \lambda(\omega_1, u_{n-1}) + \eta'_n \omega_1) \text{ for some } \eta'_n \in \bar{k}.$$

It follows that

$$\lambda(\omega, u_n) = a \lambda(\omega_1, u_n) + b \lambda(\omega_2, u_n) = \frac{1}{c_n} \left(ba_1 \tilde{\pi} / \xi - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i \lambda(\omega, u_i) + a \eta'_n \omega_1 + b \eta_n \omega_2 \right).$$

Since $u_n \in k\text{-Span}\{\omega_1, \omega_2, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}\}$ and

$$F_\tau(u_n) \in \bar{k}\text{-Span}\{1, F_\tau(\omega_1), F_\tau(\omega_2), F_\tau(u_1), \dots, F_\tau(u_{n-1})\},$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \bar{k} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1, & \omega_2, & u_1, & \dots, & u_{n-1}, \\ F_\tau(\omega_1), & F_\tau(\omega_2), & F_\tau(u_1), & \dots, & F_\tau(u_{n-1}) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \bar{k} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1, & \omega_2, & \lambda(\omega_1, u_1), & \dots, & \lambda(\omega_1, u_{n-1}), \\ F_\tau(\omega_1), & F_\tau(\omega_2), & \lambda(\omega_2, u_1), & \dots, & \lambda(\omega_2, u_{n-1}) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \bar{k} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1, & \omega_2, & \lambda(\omega_1, u_1), & \dots, & \lambda(\omega_1, u_{n-1}), \\ F_\tau(\omega_1), & \tilde{\pi}, & \lambda(\omega_2, u_1), & \dots, & \lambda(\omega_2, u_{n-1}) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \bar{k} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1, & \omega, & \lambda(\omega_1, u_1), & \dots, & \lambda(\omega_1, u_{n-1}), \\ F_\tau(\omega_1), & \lambda(\omega, u_n), & \lambda(\omega, u_1), & \dots, & \lambda(\omega, u_{n-1}) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality uses (11) and the fourth equality uses the assumption $ba_1 \neq 0$. This proves Theorem 1.2.3 since $\text{tr.deg}_{\bar{k}} L = 2(n+1)$.

4.3. The $\mathcal{K}$ -span of $\{M_i\}$ in $\text{Ext}_T^1(\mathbf{1}, M_\rho)$. We continue with the notations in §4.1. Put $\mathcal{K} := \text{End}_T(M_\rho)$ and let K be the CM field of ρ . We shall note that $\text{Ext}_T^1(\mathbf{1}, M_\rho)$ is an additive group under the Bare sum. More precisely, given $X_i \in \text{Ext}_T^1(\mathbf{1}, M_\rho)$ whose defining matrix is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Phi_\rho & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{v}_i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_3(\bar{k}[t]) \cap \text{GL}_3(\bar{k}(t)) \text{ for } i = 1, 2,$$

then with respect to a suitable choice of $\bar{k}(t)$ -basis for the Bare sum $X_1 +_B X_2$ the matrix representing multiplication by σ on $X_1 +_B X_2$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Phi_\rho & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_3(\bar{k}[t]) \cap \text{GL}_3(\bar{k}(t)).$$

Further, $\text{Ext}_T^1(\mathbf{1}, M_\rho)$ has a $\mathcal{K}$ -module structure as follows. First, let $\mathbf{m} \in \text{Mat}_{2 \times 1}(M_\rho)$ comprise the $\bar{k}(t)$ -basis of M_ρ so that $\sigma \mathbf{m} = \Phi_\rho \mathbf{m}$. Given any nonzero element $f \in \mathcal{K}$, we have that $f(\mathbf{m}) = F \mathbf{m}$ for some matrix $F \in \text{GL}_2(\bar{k}(t))$. For any $X \in \text{Ext}_T^1(\mathbf{1}, M_\rho)$ whose defining matrix is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Phi_\rho & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{v} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_3(\bar{k}[t]) \cap \text{GL}_3(\bar{k}(t)),$$

we define f_*X to be the pushout of the maps $f : M_\rho \rightarrow M_\rho$ and $M_\rho \hookrightarrow X$. With respect to a suitable choice of $\bar{k}(t)$ -basis for f_*X , the matrix representing multiplication by σ on f_*X is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Phi_\rho & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{v}F & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_3(\bar{k}[t]) \cap \text{GL}_3(\bar{k}(t)).$$

Theorem 4.3.1. *Let notations and assumptions be given in Theorem 4.1.1. For each α_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $M_i \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{T}}^1(\mathbf{1}, M_\rho)$ be the t -motive associated to α_i defined in §4.1. Then $M_1, \dots, M_n$ are $\mathcal{K}$ -linearly independent in $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{T}}^1(\mathbf{1}, M_\rho)$.*

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that $X := f_{1*}M_1 + \dots + f_{n*}M_n$ is trivial in $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{T}}^1(\mathbf{1}, M_\rho)$ for some $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{K}$, which are not all zero. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$ with $f_i \neq 0$, let $F_i \in \text{GL}_3(\bar{k}(t))$ satisfy $f_i(\mathbf{m}) = F_i \mathbf{m}$ and set $(A, B) := \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i, 0) F_i$. Then with respect to a suitable choice $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Mat}_{3 \times 1}(X)$, which comprises a $\bar{k}(t)$ -basis of X , the matrix representing multiplication by σ on X is given by

$$\Phi_X := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ (t - \theta) & -\kappa^{(-1)} & 0 \\ A & B & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_3(\bar{k}[t]) \cap \text{GL}_3(\bar{k}(t)).$$

Set $\mathbf{w} := \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{g}_i^{\text{tr}} F_i \Psi_\rho$. Then $\Psi_X := \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_\rho & 0 \\ \mathbf{w} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_3(\mathbb{T}) \cap \text{Mat}_3(\mathbb{E})$ is a rigid analytic trivialization for Φ_X .

Let $\Phi_\rho \oplus (1) \in \text{Mat}_3(\bar{k}[t]) \cap \text{GL}_3(\bar{k}(t))$ be the block diagonal matrix. Since X is trivial in $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{T}}^1(\mathbf{1}, M_\rho)$, there exists

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ a & b & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_3(\bar{k}(t))$$

so that if we take $\mathbf{x}' := \gamma \mathbf{x}$ as a new $\bar{k}(t)$ -basis of X , then we have

$$\sigma \mathbf{x}' = (\Phi_\rho \oplus (1)) \mathbf{x}'.$$

That is,

$$(25) \quad \gamma^{(-1)} \Phi_X = (\Phi_\rho \oplus (1)) \gamma.$$

So we have the difference equation

$$(\gamma \Psi_X)^{(-1)} = (\Phi_\rho \oplus (1)) (\gamma \Psi_X)$$

and hence by (4) we have

$$(26) \quad \gamma \Psi_X = (\Psi_\rho \oplus (1)) \delta$$

for some $\delta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ c & d & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_3(\mathbb{F}_q(t))$. Note that by (26) we have that a, b are regular at $t = \theta$. Moreover, from (26) we obtain the following equation

$$(a, b) \Psi_\rho + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{g}_i^{\text{tr}} \Psi_\rho \eta_i = (c, d),$$

where $\eta_i := \Psi_\rho^{-1} F_i \Psi_\rho$ is regular at $t = \theta$ by Proposition 2.3.3. As $(A(\theta), B(\theta)) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i, 0) F_i(\theta)$, by specializing the above equation at $t = \theta$, we have

$$(27) \quad a(\theta) - A(\theta) + \sum_{i=1}^n (u_i F_{i11}(\theta) - F_\tau(u_i) F_{i21}(\theta)) = c(\theta) \omega_1 + d(\theta) \omega_2.$$

We claim that $a(\theta) = A(\theta)$. Then by Proposition 2.3.3 and the fact that ω_1 is a K -multiple of ω_2 , (27) gives a non-trivial K -linear relation among $\{\omega_1, u_1, \dots, u_n\}$, which contradicts to the assumption.

To prove the claim, we note that (25) gives rise to the following equation

$$(28) \quad (t - \theta)b^{(-1)} + A = a, \quad a^{(-1)} - \kappa^{(-1)}b^{(-1)} + B = b,$$

which imply

$$(29) \quad (t - \theta^{(-1)})b^{(-2)} + A^{(-1)} - \kappa^{(-1)}b^{(-1)} + B = b.$$

Since $(A, B) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i, 0)F_i$, by Proposition 2.3.3 we have that A and B are regular at $t = \theta, \theta^q, \theta^2, \dots$. Suppose that $b^{(-1)}$ has pole at $t = \theta$, i.e., b has pole at $t = \theta^q$. By (29), we see that either $b^{(-1)}$ or $b^{(-2)}$ has pole at $t = \theta^q$, i.e., either b has pole at $t = \theta^{q^2}$ or $t = \theta^{q^3}$. By repeating the same argument, we see that b has infinitely many poles among $\{\theta^q, \theta^{q^2}, \theta^{q^3}, \dots\}$, which contradicts the fact $b \in \bar{k}(t)$. So $b^{(-1)}$ is regular at $t = \theta$, whence we have $a(\theta) = A(\theta)$ by (28). $\square$

4.4. Proof of Theorem 4.1.1. Let N be the t -motive defined by

$$\Phi_N := \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_\rho & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \Phi_\rho & \\ \mathbf{h}_1^{\text{tr}} & \dots & \mathbf{h}_n^{\text{tr}} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_{2n+1}(\bar{k}[t]) \cap \text{GL}_{2n+1}(\bar{k}(t))$$

with rigid analytic trivialization

$$\Psi_N := \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_\rho & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \Psi_\rho & \\ \mathbf{g}_1^{\text{tr}}\Psi_\rho & \dots & \mathbf{g}_n^{\text{tr}}\Psi_\rho & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{E}) \cap \text{GL}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{T}).$$

Note that N is an extension of M_ρ^n by $\mathbf{1}$, which is the pullback of $M := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{1}^n$ and the diagonal embedding $\mathbf{1} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{1}^n$. As the two t -motives M and N generate the same Tannakian sub-category of $\mathcal{T}$, the motivic Galois groups Γ_M and Γ_N are isomorphic and hence our task is to prove $\dim \Gamma_N = 2n + 2$.

Consider the short exact sequence of algebraic group schemes over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$:

$$(30) \quad 0 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \Gamma_N \xrightarrow{\pi} \Gamma_{M_\rho} \longrightarrow 1,$$

where the surjective map $\pi : \Gamma_N \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_{M_\rho}$ is the canonical projection map (cf. [CP08, p.22]). Having Theorem 4.3.1 at hand, we follow Hardouin's argument ([Pe08, Thm. 4.7], [H09, Cor. 2.4]) to prove $\dim G = 2n$, whence we prove Theorem 4.1.1.

First, we note that we have the following properties:

- (I) M_ρ is a simple object in $\mathcal{T}$ (cf. [CP08, Lem. 3.1.1]);
- (II) Every Γ_{M_ρ} -module is completely reducible (cf. Lemma 2.3.2 and [J03]).
- (III) The additive group G is smooth over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$.

The third property above follows by directly proving that the induced tangent map $d\pi : \text{Lie } \Gamma_N \rightarrow \text{Lie } \Gamma_{M_\rho}$ is surjective. The argument is similar to the proof of [CP08, Prop. 4.1.2], so we omit the details.

Now, let $\mathbf{n} \in \text{Mat}_{(n+1) \times 1}(N)$ comprise the $\bar{k}(t)$ -basis of N so that $\sigma \mathbf{n} = \Phi_N \mathbf{n}$. Note that $\Psi_N^{-1} \mathbf{n}$ is a canonical $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ -basis of N^B . We set f to be the last coordinate of

$\Psi_N^{-1}\mathbf{n}$. For any $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ -algebra R , using (5) and Remark 2.2.2 we consider the following well-defined map

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^{(R)} : G(R) &\rightarrow R \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q(t)} (M_\rho^n)^B \\ g &\mapsto (g-1)f. \end{aligned}$$

When we regard $(M_\rho^n)^B$ as an additive group scheme over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$, the map ζ defined above gives rise a morphism of group schemes over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$. Moreover, since Γ_{M_ρ} has a natural action on G coming from (30), one checks directly that $\zeta^{(R)}$ is $\Gamma_{M_\rho}(R)$ -equivariant. In other words, one has:

(IV) the image $\zeta(G)$ is a Γ_{M_ρ} -submodule of $(M_\rho^n)^B$.

Further, following Hardouin (cf. [H09, Lem. 2.3]) one uses (I)~(IV) to prove:

(V) $\zeta(G) \cong U^B$, where U is a sub- t -motive of M_ρ^n so that N/U is split as direct sum of M_ρ^n/U and $\mathbf{1}$.

Hence, to prove $\dim G = 2n$, it suffices to prove $U^B = (M_\rho^n)^B$.

Suppose on the contrary that $U^B \subsetneq (M_\rho^n)^B$. As U is a proper sub- t -motive of the completely reducible t -motive M_ρ^n , there exists a non-trivial morphism $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(M_\rho^n, M_\rho)$ so that $U \subseteq \text{Ker } \phi$. Moreover, the morphism ϕ factors through the map $M_\rho^n/U \rightarrow M_\rho^n/\text{Ker } \phi$ as in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_\rho^n & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow \phi & \\ M_\rho^n/U & \longrightarrow & M_\rho^n/\text{Ker } \phi \cong M_\rho. \end{array}$$

Since $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(M_\rho^n, M_\rho)$, we can write $\phi(m_1, \dots, m_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(m_i)$ for some $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{K}$, not all zero. Then the pushout $\phi_*N = f_{1*}M_1 +_B \dots +_B f_{n*}M_n$ is a quotient of N/U . By (V), it follows that ϕ_*N is trivial in $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{T}}^1(\mathbf{1}, M_\rho)$. But this contradicts to the $\mathcal{K}$ -linear independence of $M_1, \dots, M_n$ in $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{T}}^1(\mathbf{1}, M_\rho)$.

Remark 4.4.1. In this remark, we shall mention that:

- (i) One can combine Papanikolas' theory, the ABP criterion (cf. [ABP04, Thm. 1.3.2]) and Yu's sub- t -module theorem (cf. [Yu97, Thm. 0.1], [Br01, Prop. 2]) to give an alternative proof of Theorem 4.1.1, but we do not discuss the details here.
- (ii) When $p = 2$ and the Drinfeld module ρ' is given by $\rho'_t = \theta + (\sqrt{\theta} + \sqrt{\theta^q})\tau + \tau^2$, then the CM field of ρ' is $\mathbb{F}_q(\sqrt{\theta})$ which is inseparable over k . Moreover, one finds that the algebraic group $\Gamma_{M_{\rho'}}$ is not a torus; its unipotent radical is nontrivial (see (14)). It is not clear to the author whether the argument above still works in this situation since it relies on the property (II), although it is believed that the result of Theorem 1.2.2 holds in this case. However, we point out that every rank 2 Drinfeld $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -module with complex multiplication whose CM field is inseparable over k is isomorphic to ρ' , so it is essentially the omitted case when there are extra endomorphisms.

REFERENCES

- [A86] G. W. Anderson, *t-motives*, Duke Math. J. **53** (1986), 457–502.
- [ABP04] G. W. Anderson, W. D. Brownawell and M. A. Papanikolas, *Determination of the algebraic relations among special Γ -values in positive characteristic*, Ann. of Math. **160** (2004), 237–313.
- [BW07] A. Baker and G. Wüstholz, *Logarithmic Forms and Diophantine Geometry*, Cambridge University Press (2007).

- [Br01] W. D. Brownawell, *Minimal group extensions and transcendence*, J. Number Theory **90** (2001), 239-254.
- [BP02] W. D. Brownawell and M. A. Papanikolas, *Linear independence of Gamma values in positive characteristic*, J. reine angew. Math. **549** (2002), 91-148.
- [CP08] C.-Y. Chang and M. A. Papanikolas, *Algebraic relations among periods and logarithms of rank 2 Drinfeld modules*, arXiv:0807.3157v1.
- [CPY09] C.-Y. Chang, M. A. Papanikolas and J. Yu, *Geometric Gamma values and Zeta values in positive characteristic*, arXiv:0905.2876v1.
- [Ge89] E.-U. Gekeler, *On the deRham isomorphism for Drinfeld modules*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **401** (1989), 188-208.
- [G96] D. Goss, *Basic Structures of Function Field Arithmetic*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3. Folge, Vol. 35, Springer Berlin 1996.
- [H09] C. Hardouin, *Computation of the Galois groups occurring in M. Papanikolas's study of Carlitz logarithms*, arXiv:0906.4429.
- [J03] J. C. Jantzen, *Representations of algebraic groups*, 2nd Edition, American Mathematical Society, 2003.
- [Lang] S. Lang, *Algebra*, Third Edition, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.
- [L80] M. Laurent, *Transcendance de périodes d'intégrales elliptiques I*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **316** (1980), 123-139.
- [L82] M. Laurent, *Transcendance de périodes d'intégrales elliptiques II*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **333** (1982), 144-161.
- [P08] M. A. Papanikolas, *Tanakian duality for Anderson-Drinfeld motives and algebraic independence of Carlitz logarithms*, Invent. Math. **171** (2008), 123-174.
- [PR03] M. A. Papanikolas and N. Ramachandran, *A Weil-Barsotti formula for Drinfeld modules*, J. Number Theory **98** (2003), 407-431.
- [Pe08] F. Pellarin, *Aspects de l'indépendance algébrique en caractéristique non nulle*, Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 2006/2007, Astérisque No. 317 (2008), Exp. no. 973, vii, 205-242.
- [R02] M. Rosen, *Number Theory in Function Fields*, Grad. Texts in Math. **210**, Springer, New York, 2002.
- [T04] D. S. Thakur, *Function Field Arithmetic*, World Scientific Publishing, River Edge NJ, 2004.
- [Thi92] A. Thiery, *Indépendance algébrique des périodes et quasi-périodes d'un module de Drinfeld*, The arithmetic of function fields (Columbus, OH, 1991), de Gruyter, Berlin (1992), 265-284.
- [W84] G. Wüstholz, *Transzendenzigenschaften von Perioden elliptischer Integrale*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **354** (1984), 164-174.
- [Yu86] J. Yu, *Transcendence and Drinfeld modules*, Invent. Math. **83** (1986), 507-517.
- [Yu90] J. Yu, *On periods and quasi-periods of Drinfeld modules*, Compositio Math. **74** (1990), 235-245.
- [Yu97] J. Yu, *Analytic homomorphisms into Drinfeld modules*, Ann. of Math. (2) **145** (1997), 215-233.

MATHEMATICS DIVISION, NATIONAL CENTER FOR THEORETICAL SCIENCES, NATIONAL TSING HUA UNIVERSITY, HSINCHU CITY 30042, TAIWAN R.O.C.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL CENTRAL UNIVERSITY, CHUNG-LI 32054, TAIWAN R.O.C.
E-mail address: cychang@math.cts.nthu.edu.tw